

## **MINUTES**

Meeting Title	West of Berkshire Sa	feguarding Adults Partne	rship Board		
Date 22 <sup>nd</sup> September 202		0			
Time	10-12:30				
Location	Microsoft Teams				
Chaired By	Teresa Bell, SAB Inde	ependent Chair			
	Confirmed Attendees:				
Teresa Bell,	Lynne Mason,	Andy Sharp, Executive	Sue Brain, Service	Patricia Pease,	Dorcas Nyabunze,
Independent Chair,	Business Manager,	Director - People,	Manager –	Associate Director for	Head of Service,
SAB	SAB	West Berkshire	Safeguarding Adults,	Safeguarding and	Emergency Duty
		District Council	West Berkshire	Mental Health, Royal	Service
			District Council	Berkshire NHS	
				Foundation Trust	
Anthony Heselton,	Linda Andrew, Acting	Mike Harling,	Simon Broad,	Garry Poulson,	Mandeep Kaur Sira,
Head of	Head of Service,	Principle Social	Assistant Director -	Director, Volunteer	CEO, Healthwatch
Safeguarding &	Emergency Duty	Worker, West	Adult Social Care at	Centre West Berkshire	Reading
Prevent Lead, South	Service	Berkshire District	Wokingham Borough		
Central Ambulance		Council	Council, Wokingham		
Service			Borough Council		
Richard Johnson,	Jo Taylor-Palmer,	Jane Fowler, Head of	Jon Dickinson, Deputy	Kathy Kelly, Head of	
Detective Inspector,	Locality Manager -	Safeguarding,	Director of Adult	Safeguarding Adults,	
Thames Valley Police	Safeguarding, Reading	Berkshire Healthcare	Social Care, Reading	NHS Berkshire West	
	Borough Council	Foundation Trust	Borough Council	Clinical	
				Commissioning Group	
				(CCG)	
Apologies/ Did not atte	end				



Paul Coe, Acting Head	Deborah Fulton,	Lorna Pearce,	Supt. John Nicholas,	Cllr Graham	Katherine Beet,
of Service, West	Director of Nursing &	Safeguarding Strategic	LPA Commander	Bridgman, Deputy	Business Support
Berkshire District	Governance,	Services Manager,	Reading, Thames	Leader and Executive	Officer, West
Council	Berkshire Healthcare	Wokingham Borough	Valley Police	Member for Adult	Berkshire SAB
	Foundation Trust	Council		Social Care, West	
				Berkshire District	
				Council	
Cath Marriott,	Seona Douglas,	Cllr Tony Jones, Cllr,	Simon Leslie, Solicitor,	Heidi Ilsley, Deputy	Simon Price, Head of
Partnerships and	Director of Adult Care	Reading Borough	Joint Legal Service –	Director of Nursing,	Housing, Wokingham
Performance, Office	and Health Services,	Council	Virtual Member	Berkshire Healthcare	Borough Council –
of the PCC – Virtual	Reading Borough			Foundation Trust	Virtual Member
Member	Council				
Susan Powell, Building	Jennifer Daly,	Cllr Claire Rowles, Cllr,	Hannah Powell, Senior	Carl Borges, Advocacy	Zelda Wolfle,
Communities	Safeguarding	West Berkshire	Probation Officer	Services Manager,	Assistant Director of
Together Team	Programme Lead, NHS	District Council	(Berkshire), Thames	Healthwatch Reading	Housing &
Manager, West	England South (South		Valley Community		Communities, Reading
Berkshire District	East)		Rehabilitation		Borough Council
Council			Company		
Matt Pope, Director	Nicholas Durman,	Liz Warren, Risk	John Ennis, Senior	Cllr Charles Margetts,	Debbie Simmons,
Of Adult Service,	TBC, HealthWatch	Reduction Manager,	Probation Officer,	Executive Member for	Nurse Director, NHS
Wokingham Borough	Wokingham	Royal Berkshire Fire	National Probation	Adult Social Care,	Berkshire West
Council		and Rescue Service	Service – Virtual	Wokingham Borough	Clinical
			Member	Council	Commissioning Group
					(CCG)



Item	Discussion	Action
1.	Welcome and Introductions	
	TB opened the meeting, as the meeting was virtual it was agreed that attendees would introduce themselves when speaking, rather than	
	doing a full round of introductions. Attendees were asked to use the chat function to make comments during the discussion.	
2.	Risk and Mitigation Log, in response to Covid	
	In preparation for this meeting SAB Statutory Partners (RBC, WokBC, WeBC, CCG, BHFT, TVP and BHFT) were asked to prepare a short presentation answering the following questions:	
	• What are the main risks to adult safeguarding as a result of the pandemic?	
	What plans are in place to mitigate these risks?	
	How will these risks impact on the SAB?	
	There was a detailed discussion as a result and the SAB Risk and Mitigation Log and 20/21 Business Plan will be updated to reflect the	
	discussions, to ensure that the SAB is priorities are correct.	
	Wokingham BC, presentation by SiB	
	• Increased risk of isolation of vulnerable people, work on going with the voluntary sector to reduce isolation. During lockdown 6000 residents were contacted, a number of residents were identified as not accessing the support they need.	
	Reduced ability to meet people face to face is impacting on the delivery of Making Safeguarding Personal. Continue to meet people face	
	to face where safe to do so and are developing use of technology to improve customer experiences when meeting virtually.	
	• Increased referral rates have seen a 50% increase which has been particularly down to inappropriate referrals, which significantly impacts	
	on the safeguarding team's capacity.	
	Have a number of transformation targets which may have to be postponed in light of pandemic and the possible second wave.	
	Testing capacity is a concern.	
	• PPE was an issue previously is now under control but cannot be certain this won't be an issue going forward.	
	How will these risks impact on the SAB?	
	<ul> <li>Slow down the delivery of strategic priorities</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Priorities across LA's due to the different demographics may be different</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Operational capacity is limited due to increased demand reducing capacity for SAB information requests.</li> </ul>	



Item	Discussion	Action
	Discussions as a result of Wokingham presentation: TB asked all attendees if the SAB have had the balance right during the pandemic, meetings were reduced and work suspended to keep it manageable, along with keeping information requests down to a minimum. TB and LM have tried to ensure that the SAB Business Plan is	
	pertinent to the priorities of the partnership and we need to know if the balance is not right. No concerns were raised about the SAB expectations since the start of the pandemic.	
	What is the context around inappropriate referrals? SiB does not have the detail behind this however the issues are being addressed with individual agencies. It appears that referrals may be made to safeguarding in order to get a quicker response, but this is clogging up the system. The pandemic has not necessarily had an impact in this. All Local Authorities (LA's) agreed that there had been an increase in inappropriate referrals and that a collective approach to dealing with this would be helpful in addressing the issues. RJ (TVP), has identified from other partnership meetings that LA's have noticed an increase in inappropriate referrals from TVP. There appears to have been a change in policy, which RJ will explore in more detail. Agreed that a meeting will be held between the LA's and TVP to discuss and agree a suitable resolution.	LA's to work together to address the issues with partners regarding
	JPT (RBC), whilst new to Reading, JPT has worked in safeguarding for a long time and has noticed with inappropriate referrals is that professionals are concerned but don't meet the safeguarding criteria. We need to consider where these concerns should sit, particularly	inappropriate referrals – All LA's
	around Homelessness and Alcohol and Drug addiction. The traditional safeguarding module is about addressing peoples personal and medical needs however needs are changing, and concerns are coming in via the safeguarding route as it is not clear to professionals where they should take them.	TVP to investigate the strategic decision they may have led to an
	TB, the Isle of Wight SAB are doing a lot of work in this area and nationally work is ongoing with Michael Preston Shoot and Adi Cooper, SP (Wok) also asked that the SAB spend some time looking at Homelessness and Safeguarding. We need further conversations about this at SAB, so it should be highlighted within our Business Plan where there is already a priority around self-neglect. This conversation is broader than the SAB and should be discussed with Community Safety Partnerships.	increase in inappropriate safeguarding referrals and work with LA's to
	MKS (HWRe), would have liked to see a question to partners specifically around advocacy and how the pandemic has impacted on this. It was agreed that this is a very important point and is covered within the SAB Dashboard. It is an issue that advocacy referrals have dropped during the pandemic and the SAB need to ensure that this is a priority.	resolve - RJ



Item	Discussion	Action
	<ul> <li>West Berkshire BC, presentation by SuB</li> <li>SuB joined the role of Service Manager, Safeguarding Adults, West Berkshire District Council at the beginning of this month (September 20).</li> <li>SuB did not have a lot to add that was covered within the assurance paper (paper 2).</li> <li>Risks have been identified regarding sickness rates due to Covid and seasonal flu and how this may impact on the workforce, it is not possible to mitigate such a risk.</li> <li>Lack of access to enclosed environments such as care homes, technology is being used but it is not ideal. Open spaces are being used wherever possible, but this will prove less of an option as we move into winter.</li> <li>There was a marked decline in safeguarding referrals at the start of lockdown from SCAS and TVP, this has since gone back to 'normal' levels, but this may alter again depending on how the pandemic progresses.</li> <li>There was not the spike in referrals in relation to Domestic Abuse that was expected.</li> <li>Saw an increase in concerns as a result of peer on peer behaviours which were due to lockdown and now lockdown has eased this has reduced, but likely to increase again if further restrictions are applied.</li> <li>In regard to how this will impact on the SAB, agree with Wokingham. As we are organisationally busy this will take away from our capacity to deliver on strategic priorities. We are in a period of uncertainty.</li> </ul>	
	<b>Discussions as a result of West Berkshire presentation:</b> TB, access to Care Homes is a pertinent issue. NICE have produced a document on Safeguarding in Care Homes which is currently out for consultation <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-ng10107</u> deadline for feedback is the 1 <sup>st</sup> October 2020. TB and LM have reviewed the document and think that in the main the recommendations are welcoming and will support our SAR recommendations. Any comments on the guidance please feedback to LM. As a SAB we do need to improve our engagement with closed environments.	Feedback on NICE consultation relevant to SAB to be shared with TB and LM - All Share details of
	<ul> <li>KK, the NICE guidelines are overall welcomed, however there are a lot of recommendations for SABs which will impact on workload, particularly as our SAB covers 3 Local Authorities. There is already an ICP which may be able to double up as a subgroup to address the requirements of the SAB, KK will share the details of this group with LM so the SAB can consider if this group would appropriately address the recommendations set out in the guidance once published.</li> <li>TB, there have been some great examples of how the partnership work with the provider market during this pandemic.</li> </ul>	ICP Group that may support implementation of NICE guidelines – KK



Item	Discussion	Action
	<b>Reading BC, presentation by JD</b> Majority has been already been covered by WokBC and WeBC presentations. The pandemic started with a crisis response getting to grips with the new ways of working to which we have all adapted. There was concerns that due to lockdown that the eyes and ears in the community would be significantly reduced which led to a drop in safeguarding referrals.	Feedback on NICE consultation relevant to SAB to be shared with TB and LM - All
	We then went into a recovery phase and began analysing the impact of lockdown, saw an increase in referrals in regard to neglect and financial abuse.	Share details of ICP Group that
	Capacity has been stretched and continues to be during this pandemic. Feel like we are moving into a new phase which is a combination of crisis and recovery. In order to mitigate the risks in regard to safeguarding JPT has been brought in as an additional resource to look at reshaping the safeguarding team, review processes and complete an analysis around performance.	may support implementation of NICE guidelines – KK
	The response to the pandemic has evidenced the strong partnership that is in place and the ability to adapt, for example carrying out virtual quality monitoring visits.	
	<ul> <li>The pandemic will impact on SAB priorities, RBC have seen:</li> <li>Increase in Mental Health particularly in younger people which will have an impact on safeguarding</li> <li>Tensions around capacity across the partnership</li> <li>Financial tensions due to varying priorities across various boards</li> <li>Ensuring quality of service provision when unable to visit</li> </ul>	
	<b>Discussions as a result of Reading BC presentation:</b> TB, would echo the point around the positive response in the partnership in response to the pandemic.	
	SiB, listening to the discussions and reflecting, there is a strong emphasis on hospital discharge and pathways are being changed to speed up the process, it is important that the safety of hospital discharges is monitored. Is this something the SAB could monitor as it is not emphasised enough at the ICP group managing this process.	



Item	Discussion	Action
	AH (SCAS), has seen some dangerous discharges across the patch (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, Hampshire and Oxfordshire) which puts pressure on SCAS and would ask that the SAB focuses on this.	
	TB, the risk register, and business plan can be updated to reflect this concerns around hospital discharge.	
	PP (RBFT), it would be interesting to know why SiB understands that safety around hospital discharge pathways has not been adequately considered. SiB, this was raised at a Rapid Community Discharge steering group, we need better analysis of unsuccessful discharges to identify themes and learning. The SAB needs to have an overview on this as there is an impact on safeguarding. PP will discuss the concerns with the chair of the steering group.	
	BHFT, CCG and RBFT, presentation by KK BHFT, CCG and RBFT worked on a joint presentation which was delivered as a PowerPoint presentation which can be found here: SAB BW health presentation Sept 2 <sup>1</sup>	To raise concerns about safety of hospital discharges with
	Discussions as a result of BHFT, CCG and RBFT presentation: PP:	steering group chair - PP
	<ul> <li>In reference to the increase in female suicide the first findings of the audit are due to go to the suicide prevention group this will be shared with SAB once finalised. The findings do support what we know about carers stress.</li> <li>Mental Health, there has been a surge in young people and eating disorders which has led to a shortage of beds. Partners are working</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>closely together to respond to the challenges. A plan is in place through the Mental Health and Learning Disability Integration Board.</li> <li>Domestic Abuse, big impact on families, have seen an increase in child deaths and child harm.</li> <li>Covid Swabbing, a pathway for people with Learning Disability has been implemented and will be expanded to cover the most vulnerable in the community.</li> </ul>	



Item	Discussion	Action
	TB, the SAB would want to see the report on suicide and keep track on Domestic Abuse. Links with the ICP, we need to ensure that we have appropriate links and possible consider a more formal approach.	Share final report on suicide audit
	MH (WeBC), the hub that was created in response to the pandemic worked well as there were formal links with the safeguarding team.	with SAB - PP
	MH, in response to the increase in Mental Health complexities as a result of the pandemic, an interactive session around hoarding was commissioned, it is understood that Reading and Wokingham have also commissioned such training. LM, the SAB have commissioned hoarding training aimed at carers and the voluntary care sector in response to this.	
	JF (BHFT), preparing the response to the questions posed by the SAB was a very useful experience and feedback was positive. A future session will be arranged.	
	AS (WeBC), the partnership needs to ensure we have co-ordinated winter plans particularly around hospital discharges and Covid testing. There is a safeguarding risk regarding provider failure as providers have been unable to recover before the second wave. TB is there a forum in place to address the risks around provider failure or should something be put in place? There are provider forums in each of the LA's.	Consider links with ICP – TB/LM
	GP (VCWB), during lockdown we were very much part of the front line. Volunteers took a lot of calls where they were really concerned about the individual, responses to that concern would depend on the volunteer who took that call. It would be beneficial for GP to be involved in the planning of the response to any future Covid restrictions so referral routes can be established so volunteers are clear on where to refer if they are concerned about individuals.	
	TB, are there local escalation polices in place are they visible? The SAB spent a lot of time creating the MARM which sits above Local Procedures we need to ensure that people access it.	
	DN and LA (EDS), agrees with the challenges that LA's have shared.	
	• Compared with last year there has been a 53% increase in the time taken to resolve cases. EDS have seen complexities around:	Share local
	Hospital Discharge, capacity of carers due to shielding, introduction of 7 day working. All of which resulted in an increase in EDS involvement.	escalation policies and the locations



Item	Discussion	Action
	• Saw a decrease in contact in regard to children's social care this may have been a result of the school closures.	with LM – All
	Increase in Adult Mental Health cases.	statutory partners
	<ul> <li>Increase in resolving housing issues for people who are homeless.</li> </ul>	
	• Housing is a massive issue, as establishments that used to support with emergency accommodation having limited capacity due to social distancing requirements.	
	• Regular meetings are being held with Local Authorities to prepare for a possible second wave and winter pressures, Reading have been particularly proactive.	
	• Was a challenging time, LA's may wish to consider reintroducing 7 day working in light of a possible second wave.	
	• Note the increase in female suicide have noticed an increase in having to support children due to parents being unable to cope.	
	• Seen impact on our workforce due to home working (not having the space or able or able to log off on time), general public taking frustrations out on staff, own safety concerns regarding Covid 75%, of workforce are BAME.	
	• There has been a impact on AMPS, there was a massive demand for out of hours, due to shielding requirements.	
	TB, it is an important point that EDS have brought up about staff wellbeing and safety and is integral to all issues the partnership are working with.	
	5 Minute break took place it was agreed that the meeting will focus on this agenda item an all other items will be endorsed via email after the meeting.	
	PP, there has been a significant impact on staff, RBFT have seen an increase in antisocial behaviours towards staff. Staff are being supported to manage this with training. Our staff are exhausted if we don't look after our workforce there will be no one there to support the vulnerable.	
	TB, staff safety and wellbeing needs to be added to our risk and mitigation log. The impact of the pandemic to staff on their work and personal lives is a heavy burden. Partners are asked to share any useful initiatives to support the workforce.	
	RBFT and BHFT, are jointly commissioning a staff wellbeing centre.	



Item	Discussion	Action
	JPT, the Safeguarding Team have struggled with the isolation that working from home brings, in an office environment the team are able to seek immediate peer support when dealing with concerns to which some can be particularly upsetting. It is impacting on the workforce's mental health as they are unable to leave the office and will often work too many hours as they cannot leave their workspace.	
	LA, have raised issues with home working with our chief executive, our screening officers are predominantly young mums who do not have the space to work at home. They are the first point of contact for destressing incidents such as child deaths. Had 2 members of staff who are off sick due to stress and anxiety. Staff have reported feeling silly/guilty for feeling stress and anxious as they are not on the front line and therefore should be able to cope. PP, having staff on site is a benefit, RBFT have processes in place to support staff around distressing incidents such as child deaths, this could possibly be extended to EDS staff.	
	SiB/AS, staff surveys have indicated that staff enjoy working from home and would like this to continue if they have access to the office a couple of days a week.	
	TB, this has been a very helpful discussion we need to pick out areas discussed that the SAB need to take action on and include in our business plan. The following was agreed:	Share staff support processes with EDS to consider
	• Self-Neglect and Homelessness – this is not a new issue but has been exacerbated as a result of lockdown, as people have been brought to the attention of services that wouldn't have previously been before.	implementation - PP
	<ul> <li>Care Homes – how do the SAB build a relationship with providers so we can work successfully with them, monitor closed environments and stay alert regarding provider failure?</li> <li>Advances is how do use accure that individuals have accure to concerning a diverse solution.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Advocacy – how do we ensure that individuals have access to appropriate advocacy?</li> <li>Inappropriate referrals – will be addressed by agreed actions from Local Authorities and TVP.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Hospital Discharge – How will be SAB be assured that hospital discharge pathways are effective and safe?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Learning Disability – is an area that requires focus.</li> </ul>	
	• Carers Stress – as we move onto the next phase of the pandemic, we need to ensure that the services supporting with the response are clear on how to report and escalate concerns about individuals.	
	<ul> <li>Hoarding – this links with Self-Neglect</li> </ul>	



Item	Discussion	Action
	Winter plan, this needs to be considered with the SAB priorities and it links closety with the care home issues.	Risk and
	Staff wellbeing, how can we support staff with professional and personal challenges?	Mitigation Log to
	• Domestic Abuse is already a SAB priority but may require adapting in light of our discussions, it would be helpful to see the report on	be updated based
	female suicide. Debt as a result of lockdown may impact in this area.	on discussions and
		sent round to SAB
	The Risk and Mitigation Log and 19/20 Business Plan will be revised based on these discussions and represented to the SAB for approval.	members for vital
		endorsement –
	The Business Plan needs to be realistic with clear priorities, so the SAB need to consider capacity when endorsing. It was agreed that	TB/LM
	subgroups need to be re-established once the business plan is approved and a meeting will be held with subgroup chairs prior to the subgroups restarting to discuss how the priorities will be achieved.	
		20/21 Business
		Plan to be updated
		based on
		discussions and
		sent round to SAB
		members for vital
		endorsement –
		TB/LM
3.	AoB	Agenda items to be
	Agreed that all other agenda items will be endorsed via email.	endorsed virtually
		- All
	There was no urgent busy raised and TB closed the meeting.	
	Next Meeting –	
	ber 2020, SAR meeting – virtual	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Dece	mber 2020, Full Board Meeting – Location TBC	